WHAT IS A JOURNAL CLUB?

With its September 2002 issue, the American Journal of Critical Care debuts a new feature, the AJCC Journal Club. Each issue of the journal will now feature an AJCC Journal Club article that provides questions and discussion points to stimulate a journal club discussion in which participants can evaluate new research and its applicability to clinical nursing practice. We encourage critical care nurses to use the AJCC Journal Club to assist them in critically analyzing research to promote a better understanding of the research process and to advocate evidence-based nursing practice.

The general purpose of a journal club is to facilitate the review of a specific research study and to discuss implications of the study for clinical practice. A journal club has been defined as an educational meeting in which a group of individuals discuss current articles, providing a forum for a collective effort to keep up with the literature. There are many advantages of participating in a journal club, including keeping abreast of new knowledge, promoting awareness of current nursing research findings, learning to critique and appraise research, becoming familiar with the best current clinical research, and encouraging research utilization.

How to Begin

The steps to beginning a journal club at your school, hospital, or medical institution are simple:

1. Post and distribute copies of the research article and the journal club discussion questions to interested persons
2. Set up a convenient meeting time and location (eg, monthly)
3. Identify a facilitator for the meeting (initially, this could be a clinical educator, clinical nurse specialist, nurse practitioner, nurse manager, or senior staff member, with journal club members then taking turns to lead subsequent journal club sessions)
4. Hold the journal club (encourage active participation of those attending by using the discussion questions)
5. Evaluate the journal club (eg, at the end of the session, gather feedback from participants). Determine how the next journal club meeting could be made more beneficial, eg, encourage more attendance, hold more than one session, tape-record the session for those unable to attend.)
6. Schedule the next meeting, using the AJCC Journal Club feature article

Several factors are key in promoting a successful journal club, including promoting interest, attendance, and involvement. Having a session leader to start and facilitate discussion can help to ensure that the journal club meetings are productive. Scheduling the journal club at a time and location that are convenient for staff to attend is additionally important.

The value of a journal club is that it can promote a better understanding of the research process and an improved ability to critically appraise research. Reading and critiquing research is most beneficial for critical care nurses, as it facilitates the evaluation of research for use in clinical practice.
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The overall goal of a research critique is to formulate a general evaluation of the merits of a study and to evaluate its applicability to clinical practice. A research critique goes beyond a review or summary of a study and carefully appraises a study’s strengths and limitations. The critique should reflect an objective assessment of a study’s validity and significance. A research study can be evaluated by its component parts, and a thorough research critique examines all aspects of a research study. Some common questions used to guide a research critique include:

A. Description of the Study
   • What was the purpose of the research?
   • Does the problem have significance to nursing?
   • Why is the problem significant/important?
   • Identify the research questions, objectives, or hypothesis(es)

B. Literature Evaluation
   • Does the literature review seem thorough?
   • Does the review include recent literature?
   • Does the content of the review relate directly to the research problem?
   • Evaluate the research cited in the literature review and the argument developed to support the need for this study.

C. Conceptual Framework
   • Does the research report use a theoretical or conceptual model for the study?
   • Does the model guide the research and seem appropriate?
   • How did it contribute to the design and execution of the study?
   • Are the findings linked back to the model or framework?

D. Sample
   • Who were the subjects?
   • What were the inclusion criteria for participation in the study?
   • How were subjects recruited?
   • Are the size and key characteristics of the sample described?
   • How representative is the sample?

E. Methods and Design
   • Describe the study methods
   • How were the data collected?
   • Are the data collection instruments clearly described?
   • Were the instruments appropriate measures of the variables under study?
   • Describe and evaluate the reliability of the instruments. (Reliability refers to the consistency of the measures.) Will the same results be found with subsequent testing?
   • Describe and evaluate the validity of the instruments. (Validity refers to the ability of the instrument to measure what it proposes to measure.)
F. Analysis
• How were the data analyzed?
• Do the selected statistical tests appear appropriate?
• Is a rationale provided for the use of selected statistical tests?
• Were the results significant?

G. Results
• What were the findings of the research?
• Are the results presented in a clear and understandable way?
• Discuss the interpretations of the study by the authors
• Are the interpretations consistent with the results?
• Were the conclusions accurate and relevant to the problem the authors identified?
• Were the authors’ recommendations appropriate?
• Are study limitations addressed?

H. Clinical Significance
• How does the study contribute to the body of knowledge?
• Discuss implications related to practice/education/research
• What additional questions does the study raise?
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## Glossary of Research Terms

**Abstract**—a brief summary of the research study

**Analysis**—the process of synthesizing data to answer the research question

**Alpha**—in tests of statistical significance, the alpha level indicates the probability of committing a Type I error; in estimates of internal consistency, a reliability coefficient, as in Cronbach alpha.

**Analysis of variance**—a statistical test for comparing mean scores among 3 or more groups

**Attrition**—loss of study participants during a study. Attrition can be a threat to the internal validity of a study, and it can change the composition of the study sample.

**Beta**—in statistical testing, the beta is the probability of a Type II error; in multiple regression, the standardized coefficients indicating the relative weights of the independent variables

**Bias**—any influence that can change the results of a study

**Case study**—a study design that provides an in-depth review of a single subject or case

**Causal relationship**—a relationship between 2 variables in which the presence or absence of one variable determines the presence or absence of the other.

**Chi-square test**—a nonparametric statistical test used to determine relationships between two nominal level variables.

**Cluster sampling**—selecting a random sample from clustered groups

**Coefficient alpha (Cronbach alpha)**—a reliability index that estimates the internal consistency of a measure with several items or subparts

**Conceptual map**—a diagram representing the relationship of variables

**Concurrent validity**—the degree to which scores on an instrument are correlated with some external criterion, measured at the same time

**Confidence interval**—a range of values that a parameter is estimated to fall within

**Confounding variable**—a variable that might affect the dependent variable, also termed “extraneous variable”

**Consent form**—a written document reflecting agreement between a researcher and subject and outlining the subject’s participation in a study

**Construct validity**—the degree to which an instrument measures the construct intended
**Content analysis**—the process of organizing narrative qualitative information according to themes and concepts

**Content validity**—the degree to which items in an instrument reflect the underlying concept

**Control group**—subjects in a research study who do not receive the experimental treatment

**Convergent validity**—a type of validity that reflects the degree to which scores from an instrument resemble scores from a different measure of the construct

**Correlation coefficient**—an index that reflects the degree of relationship between 2 variables. A perfect positive relationship is +1, no relationship is 0, and -1 is a perfect negative relationship.

**Criterion validity**—the degree to which scores on an instrument are correlated with some external criterion

**Cronbach alpha**—a reliability index that reflects the internal consistency of a measure

**Cross-sectional study**—a study design that collects data at a single point in time for the purpose of inferring trends over time.

**Data cleaning**—the process of trying to find errors in the data set

**Degrees of freedom**—a concept used with statistical tests that refers to the number of sample values that are free to vary. In a sample, all but one value is free to vary, and the degrees of freedom is often N-1.

**Descriptive study**—a study that defines or describes a population or phenomenon

**Descriptive statistics**—methods used to describe or summarize the characteristics of data in a sample

**Dependent variable**—the outcome variable of interest

**Dichotomous variable**—a variable with only 2 categories

**Effect size**—a statistical term of the magnitude of the relationship between 2 variables

**Experimental group**—subjects in a research study who receive the experimental treatment or intervention

**Exploratory study**—a type of study design used to explore or gain insights into a phenomenon

**Ex post facto**—a type of research design that studies something after it has occurred
Experiment—a research study in which the independent variables are manipulated and subjects are randomly assigned to different conditions

External validity—refers to how representative the results of the study are (generalizability)

Face validity—the degree to which a test appears to measure a concept based on the judgment by experts

Factor analysis—a statistical procedure for reducing a large set of variables into smaller sets of related variables

Focused interview—an interview that is partially structured or semi-structured

Frequency distribution—a display of data values from the lowest to the highest, along with a count of the number of times each value occurred

Grounded theory—a method used in qualitative research to develop categories of theories and propositions about their relationships from data

Halo effect—the tendency for an observer to rate certain subjects as high or low because of the overall impression the subject gives the observer

Hawthorne effect—changes that occur in people’s behavior because they know they are being studied

Histogram—a graphic display of data frequency using rectangular bars with heights equal to the frequency count

Hypothesis—a statement of the relationship between 2 or more study variables

Independent variable—the conditions or factors that are explored in relationship to their influence on the dependent variable

Indirect (inverse) relationship—a negative correlation between 2 variables

Internal consistency reliability—the degree to which all items in a scale are measuring the same dimension of a concept

Internal validity—a measure of the independent variable being responsible for an observed effect

Inter-rater reliability—the reliability of measures across different raters

Interval scale—measures data that rank orders a variable with equal distance between measurement points (eg, temperature data)

Instruments—devices or techniques used to collect data

Likert scale—a scale of measurement in which respondents are asked to respond to statements based on how much they agree or disagree
**Literature review**—the process of searching published work to find out what is known about a research topic

**Longitudinal study**—a research study that is conducted over time and measures the same variables

**Mean**—the average value or measure of central tendency. The mean is obtained by dividing the sum of values by the total number of values.

**Median**—the middle score

**Mode**—the value that occurs most frequently

**Multiple regression**—a statistical procedure for understanding the effects of 2 or more independent variables on a dependent variable

N—used to designate the total sample size

n—used to designate the number of subjects in a subgroup

**Nominal scale**—a scale that measures data by assignment of characteristics into categories (e.g., male = 1, female = 2)

**Nonparametric statistics**—tests that can be used to analyze nominal and ordinal data, or data that are not normally distributed

**Null hypothesis**—a statement that no relationship exists between study variables

**Ordinal scale**—a scale that measures data that rank order values

**Parametric statistics**—tests that are used to analyze interval level data and data that is normally distributed

**Pearson’s r**—a correlation coefficient that designates the magnitude of a relationship between 2 variables

**Phenomenology**—a qualitative research method that focuses on the lived experience of subjects

**Pilot study**—a small scale study conducted to test the plan and methods of a research study

**Power analysis**—refers to a way of calculating the number of subjects needed for the results of a study to be considered statistically significant

**Quasi-experimental**—a type of research design in which subjects are not randomly assigned to treatment conditions, but manipulation of the independent variable does occur

**Qualitative analysis**—a method of analyzing non-numerical data (such as words or statements from subjects)

r—the symbol used to designate a correlation coefficient
R²—the symbol that indicates the squared multiple correlation coefficient which indicates the amount of variance in the dependent variable accounted for or explained by the independent variables

Random sample—a sample selected in a way that ensures that every subject has an equal chance of being included

Range—represents the dispersion of data or the difference between the smallest and largest values

Ratio scale—a scale that has a zero point and equal distances between scores

Regression—a statistical procedure for predicting values of a dependent variable based on the values of one or more independent variables

Reliability—refers to the consistency of the measures and means that an instrument produces consistent results or data with repeated use

Research utilization—implementation of research findings in practice

Response rate—the rate of participation in a study

Scatter diagram (scatter plot)—a graphic presentation of the correlation between two variables

Significance level—the probability that an observed relationship could be caused by chance. A significance level of .05 indicates the probability that a relationship would be found by chance only 5 times out of 100.

Standard deviation—a measure of variability of data. The standard deviation is the average of the deviations from the mean.

Standard score (z-score)—refers to how many standard deviations away from the mean a particular score is located

Test-retest reliability—a method for determining the reliability of an instrument by administering it on 2 or more occasions to the same respondents

Triangulation—refers to the use of several methods to collect data on the same concept

T-test—a statistical test used to determine if the means of 2 groups are significantly different

Type I error (alpha error)—occurs when it is concluded that a difference between groups is not due to chance when in fact it is (reject a true null hypothesis)

Type II error (beta error)—occurs when it is concluded that differences between groups were due to chance when in fact they were due to the effects of the independent variable (accept a false null hypothesis)

Variable—a characteristic, attribute, or outcome
Variability—the degree to which values are widely different or dispersed

Validity—refers to the ability of the instrument to measure what it proposes to measure

Variance—a descriptive statistic that examines how scores are distributed

Z-score—a standard score, expressed in terms of standard deviations from the mean
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